

REMARKS

This paper is filed in response to the Office Action dated April 17, 2003. Applicants note that August 17, 2003 was a Sunday. Consequently, as this paper is filed on August 18, 2003, with a request for a one month extension (and fee), the paper is timely filed.

Status of Amendments

Claims 1-61 were pending prior to this amendment. By this amendment, applicants cancel claims 1-61 without prejudice to refile, and add claims 62-81. Thus, claims 62-81 are now pending.

Because applicant previously paid fees for 4 independent and 61 total claims, applicant's amendments do not require a further fee to be paid.

Response to Office Action

In the Office Action of April 17, 2003, claims 1-4, 6-8, 10-13, 15-19, 21-28, 30-34, and 49-53 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as allegedly anticipated by Wells et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,530,842). Claims 5 and 20 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as allegedly being unpatentable over Wells et al. Claims 14 and 29 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as allegedly being unpatentable over Wells et al. in view of Rosen (U.S. Patent No. 5,709,360). Claim 9 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as allegedly being unpatentable over Wells et al. in view of Ferris et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,374,208). Claims 35-46, and 48 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as allegedly being unpatentable over Wells et al. in view of Pellegrini (U.S. Patent No. 4,575,622). Claim 47 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) allegedly being unpatentable over Wells et al. in view of Pellegrini, and further in view of Rosen. Claims 54-61 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as allegedly being unpatentable over Wells and further in view of Raven et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,249,361). As applicants have canceled the pending claims, the rejections are moot.

However, applicants respectfully submit herewith the following comments in regards to Wells et al. and Rosen as they relate to new claims 62-81.

In particular, independent claim 62 recites an articulation support system comprising, in part: "a first support element pivotally coupled to said base, a second support element having: a first end received with said first support element, said first end translatable within said first support element between a first position and a second position[.]" Independent

claim 69 recites an articulation support system comprising: "a first support element attached to said base, a second support element having: a first end received within said first support element, said first end translatable within said first support element between a first position and a second position[.]" Independent claim 76 recites an articulation support system comprising: "a support arm attached to said at least one display unit, a first lateral member and a second lateral member attached to said support arm, and a first arcuate path and a second arcuate path defined within said base wherein said first lateral member received within and moveable along said first arcuate path and said second lateral member received within and moveable along said second arcuate path."

Wells et al. does not disclose each and every limitation of claims 62, 69, and 76, specifically none of the limitations cited above. Moreover, Rosen does not disclose each and every limitation of claims 62, 69, and 76. Even if one combines the articulable monitor support in Rosen with the gaming system disclosed in Well et al., the combination still would not include the limitations cited above. Thus, neither Wells et al. nor Rosen anticipates nor, when taken individually or in combination, renders the claimed subject matter unpatentable.

Claims 63-68 depend from claim 62, claims 70-75 depend from claim 69, and claims 77-81 depend from claim 76. Because claims 62, 69 and 76 are distinguished over the references cited by the Examiner, claims 63-68, 70-75, and 77-81 are also allowable.

In view of the foregoing, it is respectfully submitted that the above application is in condition for allowance, and reconsideration is respectfully requested. If there is any matter that the Examiner would like to discuss, the Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned representative at the telephone number set forth below.

Dated: August 18, 2003

Respectfully submitted,

By 
Paul C. Craane

Registration No.: 38,851
MARSHALL, GERSTEIN & BORUN LLP
233 S. Wacker Drive, Suite 6300
Sears Tower
Chicago, Illinois 60606-6357
(312) 474-6300
Attorney for Applicant